Davangere Channagiri Road.

*Q.—602. Sri KUNDUR RUDRAPPA (Channagiri).—

Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the Davangere-Bada-Santhebennur-Devarahalli-Channagiri Road though formed as a State Fund Road some ten years back, is still not rendered trafficable from one end to the other ;

(*b*) the dates on which sanctions were accorded for the construction of bridges across Syagalehalla, Hirehalla and Soppinahalla on the said road, together with the estimated cost and the outlay incurred against each so far ;

(*c*) the present stage of construction of these bridges ;

(*d*) the difficulty in expeditiously executing these constructions ?

A.—Minister for Public Works (Sri VEERENDRA PATIL).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) The Bridge works are not yet sanctioned. Hence there is no outlay.

(*c*) and (*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*b*) of the question.

Members' Representation.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—(Surathkal).—Sir, I have a representation to make. Despite my repeated requests to allow me to put the passed-over question, you did not allow me to put it. The other day, even after 9-30 A.M. you allowed Sri Anna Rao to put his passed-over question and inordiante time was allowed for putting supplementaries. You also allowed the short-notice question today after 9-30 a.m. When the 45th list was taken up I was present and because it was beyond 2 P.M. you did not allow me to put my question. Again when 46th list came up and the Deputy Speaker was in the Chair, he also did not allow me to put my passed-over question a second time even though the rule permitted it. Today again mine is an important question. You allowed Sri Krishna Setty to put his passed-over question for which supplementaries were asked. I am therefore constrained to submit humbly that there is clearly a certain amount of partiality against me. As such I do not want to participate in today's proceedings.

MR. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member will resume his seat.

(*Sri Sanjeevanath Aikala left the House*).

MR. SPEAKER.—I will take note of it. I will see that action is taken against him. This amounts to impertinence.

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—He has just made an appeal to the Hon'ble Chair. Sir, you did not lose temper the other day.

MR. SPEAKER.—Time and again if the Hon. Member gets up and asks me what action I have taken, what am I to do ? He is not patient to wait till I have addressed the House. He gets up and distrubs me when I am addressing. We have transacted business for four years and for the bulk of the fifth year. I want to tell the House the facts as they transpired so far as this particular member is concerned. If anything, I have been indulgent to this member. His question is the second one and when the third question, that of Sri T. Madiah Gowda, was being answered, he quietly enters. He participates in Mr. Krishna Shetty's question. He wants a copy of the big statement. He is given the facility of the statement. Then he wants that it should be postponed further so that he may have time to study it. The hon'ble member who has put the question is present. There is no fault on the part of the hon'ble member Sri Krishna Shetty. If I give him the opportunity, then members entertain a feeling that I am trying to cover it up and seeing that every question is taken up. Every member is trying to put supplementaries. This is a matter relating to lift irrigation in South Kanara and it was not necessary for other members to put supplementaries. I am trying to economise time and yet this member accuses me saying that Sri Anna Rao's question was taken up after it was one hour. I do not know whether it was exactly one hour or thereabouts. But does it mean that he gets a right ? He says that I am partial in favour of others and against him and that it is discrimination. What kind of discrimination is it ? I allow a short notice question after questions for 15 minutes. I could have refused it, but I wanted to give satisfaction to members. But if members think that satisfaction is not what they want, but their whims should be catered to, they can never expect that from me.

SRI B. BHASKER SHEETY (Kaup).—He requested 3 or 4 times ?

MR. SPEAKER.—Having given notice of a question, should he not come in time ? Did he not see the copy of the agenda ? Should he not look into it and come in time ? Having come, how many supplementaries did he put on one question ? He asked more supplementaries thant any other member on this question ? Should he not have understood that if so many supplementaries are put by him on one question, his question may not be reached ? And then when his question is not reached, he thinks that I shut out his question and he walks out saying that I am partial. I will certainly ask the member to apologise.

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO (Mysore City).—I would like to bring to your notice that about 3 days back a similar situation arose and then you had profound patience to hear even abuses hurled against the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order. I have said I will deal with it. The member does not have the patience to give me time to deal with it.

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—I only wanted to say that even in such a very grave situation you had profound patience to listen to what was said against the Chair. I would submit that the situation that arose today is a very, very minor one and of an insignificant nature and the Chair should not have been so very touchy about it.

MR. SPEAKER.—What is the insinuation ?

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—There is no insinuation.

MR. SPEAKER.—Well, what did the Hon'ble member contribute by spending so much time ? Would he kindly explain to me ?

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—I never meant any insinuation.

MR. SPEAKER.—I will tell him how I understood. He meant to suggest that I did not take up this attitude to another member Sri Channappa Wali and by inference he meant that I have discriminated.

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—I never meant discrimination. I only mentioned facts. Members were seeing that the Chair was being insulted and we were at our wit's end we wanted to uphold the dignity of the Chair, but you had the patience to hear what the member said. I only brought it to your notice and I never meant any insinuation.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have heard the Hon'ble member Sri Suryanarayana Rao with patience. I am grateful to him for mentioning that he did not mean any disrespect to the Chair, that he did not insinuate. Bu by implication a certain amount of discrimination is to be inferred by my taking action with regard to the incident that happened the other day and with regard to what transpired today. If he says that he did not mean it, I am unable to understand what purpose was served by his drawing my attention to it. If anything is mentioned, there is a purpose behind it and some inference flows from it. A reasonable inference flows from it and the reasonable inference is that he was feeling that there is a certain amount of discrimination with regard to that. He is entirely wrong because I said yesterday that I have taken action on it. The hon'ble member has met me and he has expressed regret. I said it is no question of regret being expressed, but what action is going to be taken, I will wait. Can I pre-judge the issue ? Not only the member has met me, but one or two others also have intervened in the matter and I am waiting to see what he is going to do. Before that members get up and speak thinking that everything is finalised. This is entirely wrong. Without knowing facts, they jump to conclusions.

I would like to know from the member what exactly he meant when he said something absolutely objectionable the other day ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚೆನ್ನಪ್ಪ ವಾಲಿ (ಸಂಪಗಾಪ್).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಗಡಿ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬನು. ನಾನು ಹೋರಾಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದನಾಗಿದ್ದೆನು. ನನಗೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ನೋವಾಯಿತು, ನಾನು ಸಿಟ್ಟಿಗೆದ್ದುದೂ ಹೌದು. ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದರೆ—ನನಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ 5 ದಿವಸಗಳು ಕಳೆದರೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನನಗೆ ಸಿಟ್ಟು ಬಂದು ಅನೇಕ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಿದ್ದು ತಪ್ಪಾಯಿತು. ಆದರೆ ನಾನು ಆ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗಾಗಲೀ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರಬುದ್ಧಿಯಿಂದ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಚೆನ್ನಪ್ಪ ವಾಲ)

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ವಿಷಾದಪಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಮೇಲಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ನದನ್ಯರಮೇಲಾಗಲೀ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ವಿರೋಧವನ್ನಾಗಲೀ, ಅಗೌರವವನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಇರಾದೆ ನನಗಿರಲಲ್ಲ.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO SRI G. N. DESAI—GRANT OF.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have to inform the House that I have received a letter from Sri G. N. Desai Member, Legislative Assembly, requesting for leave of absence from attending the current sittings of the Assembly due to his illness. Is it the pleasure of the Assembly that such permission be granted ?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

MR. SPEAKER.—Leave of absence is granted.

Members' Representation.

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Before you take up the next business, you were pleased to state.....

MR. SPEAKER.—I will follow the agenda. By allowing this kind of interruption I am not creating an atmosphere which is favourable to anybody. Why did not the member meet me in my chamber ?

SRI K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Yesterday you were pleased to say that you will look into the matter.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Did I ask the member to raise it on the floor of the House ? I have got the thing analysed as to how many notices were given and how they have been dealt with. I am not here as an information Officer to answer queries. Yesterday if I was indulgent enough to say that I would look into it, does it not mean the rights of the member are enlarged ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರ ಸೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಕಾಪು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ನಡೆದ ಗದ್ದಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಲ್ ಚೆನ್ನಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಷಾದವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದರೇ ವಿನಾ ಅವರು ಕ್ಷಮೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಲಲ್ಲ.

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order. When I have passed on to the next business and finished one or two items of business, the Hon'ble Member suddenly gets up and begins to talk on a matter which is over.

SRI S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—No, Sir.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order. If Sri Sivappa wants he may write to me again.

SRI S. SIVAPPA.—I want to know the meaning of ' Vishada '.